Gröbner-Shirshov bases for Schreier extensions of groups*

Yuqun Chen

School of Mathematical Sciences
South China Normal University
Guangzhou 510631
P. R. China
yqchen@scnu.edu.cn

arXiv:0804.0641v1 [math.GR] 4 Apr 2008

Abstract. In this paper, by using the Gröbner-Shirshov bases, we give characterizations of the Schreier extensions of groups when the group is presented by generators and relations. An algorithm to find the conditions of a group to be a Schreier extension is obtained. By introducing a special total order, we obtain the structure of the Schreier extension by an HNN group.
Keywords: Group; Gröbner-Shirshov bases; Schreier extension; HNN-extension.
AMS 2000 Subject Classification: 20E06, 16S15, 13P10

1 Preliminaries

The concept of the extensions of groups was introduced by Otto Schreier in [13] and [14], also see M. Hall's book [7].

Definition 1.1 *([7],[9]) Let A, B, G be groups. By an exact sequence*

$$1 \to A \to G \to B \to 1$$

we mean that A is a normal subgroup of G such that $G/A \cong B$. If this is the case, G is called a Schreier extension of A by B.

Some characterizations of Schreier extensions are included in [7] (see [7], pp218-228, specially Chapter 15.4. Defining Relations and Extensions). It is told ([7], p228) that "It is difficult to determine the identities (in A) leading to conditions for an extension", where the group B is presented by generators and relations.

In this paper, by using the Gröbner-Shirshov bases with a monomial total order, we give characterizations of the Schreier extensions of groups when the group is presented by

*The research is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.10771077) and the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (Grant No. 06025062).

generators and relations. An algorithm to find the extension conditions of a group to be a Schreier extension is obtained. Then, we find a solution to the above problem discussed by M. Hall in the book [7].

A Gröbner-Shirshov bases for HNN-extension of group is given in [6] by using the Generalized Composition-Diamond Lemma (see Lemma 3.2) with a special total order (not monomial). Because this order is not monomial, we have to find a special order such that we can use the Generalized Composition-Diamond Lemma to give the extension conditions by an HNN group.

Now, we cite some concepts and results from the literature.

Let k be a field, $k\langle X\rangle$ the free associative algebra over k generated by X and X^* the free monoid generated by X, where the empty word is the identity which is denoted by 1. For a word $w \in X^*$, we denote the length of w by $deg(w)$. Let X^* be a total ordered set. Let $f \in k\langle X\rangle$ with the leading word $\bar{f}$. We say that f is monic if $\bar{f}$ has coefficient 1.

Definition 1.2 *([15], see also [2], [3]) Let f and g be two monic polynomials in $k\langle X\rangle$ and $<$ a total order on X^*. Then, there are two kinds of compositions:*

(1) *If w is a word such that $w = \bar{f}b = a\bar{g}$ for some $a, b \in X^*$ with $deg(\bar{f})+deg(\bar{g}) > deg(w)$, then the polynomial $(f, g)_w = fb - ag$ is called the intersection composition of f and g with respect to w.*

(2) *If $w = \bar{f} = a\bar{g}b$ for some $a, b \in X^*$, then the polynomial $(f, g)_w = f - agb$ is called the inclusion composition of f and g with respect to w.*

Definition 1.3 *([2], [3], cf. [15]) Let $S \subseteq k\langle X\rangle$ with each $s \in S$ monic. Then the composition $(f, g)_w$ is called trivial modulo S if $(f, g)_w = \sum \alpha_i a_i s_i b_i$, where each $\alpha_i \in k$, $a_i, b_i \in X^*$ and $\overline{a_i s_i b_i} < w$. If this is the case, then we write*

$$(f, g)_w \equiv 0 \quad mod(S, w)$$

In general, for $p, q \in k\langle X\rangle$, we write

$$p \equiv q \quad mod(S, w)$$

which means that $p - q = \sum \alpha_i a_i s_i b_i$, where each $\alpha_i \in k, a_i, b_i \in X^*$ and $\overline{a_i s_i b_i} < w$.

Definition 1.4 *([2], [3], cf. [15]) We call the set S with respect to the total order $<$ a Gröbner-Shirshov set (basis) in $k\langle X\rangle$ if any composition of polynomials in S is trivial relative to S.*

If a subset S of $k\langle X\rangle$ is not a Gröbner-Shirshov basis, then we can add to S all nontrivial compositions of polynomials of S, and by continuing this process (maybe infinitely) many times, we eventually obtain a Gröbner-Shirshov basis S^{comp}. Such a process is called the Shirshov algorithm.

A total order $>$ on X^* is monomial if it is compatible with the multiplication of words, that is, for $u, v \in X^*$, we have

$$u > v \Rightarrow w_1 u w_2 > w_1 v w_2, \ for\ all\ w_1,\ w_2 \in X^*.$$

A standard example of monomial order on X^* is the deg-lex order to compare two words first by degree and then lexicographically, where X is a linearly ordered set.

The following lemma was proved by Shirshov [15] for the free Lie algebras (with deg-lex ordering) in 1962 (see also Bokut [2]). In 1976, Bokut [3] specialized the approach of Shirshov to associative algebras (see also Bergman [1]). For commutative polynomials, this lemma is known as the Buchberger's Theorem in [4] and [5].

Lemma 1.5 *(Composition-Diamond Lemma) Let k be a field, $A = k\langle X|S\rangle = k\langle X\rangle/Id(S)$ and $<$ a monomial order on X^*, where $Id(S)$ is the ideal of $k\langle X\rangle$ generated by S. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) S is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis.

(2) $f \in Id(S) \Rightarrow \bar{f} = a\bar{s}b$ for some $s \in S$ and $a, b \in X^*$.

(3) $Irr(S) = \{u \in X^* | u \neq a\bar{s}b, s \in S, a, b \in X^*\}$ is a basis of the algebra $A = k\langle X|S\rangle$.

For convenience, we identify a relation $v = h_v$ of an algebra presented by generators and relations with the polynomial $v - h_v$ in the corresponding free algebra.

2 Gröbner-Shirshov bases for Schreier extensions of groups

In this section, by using Gröbner-Shirshov bases, we give the structure of Schreier extensions of groups and an algorithm is given to find all Schreier extensions of the groups A by B.

Let A, B be groups. By a factor set of B in A, we mean a subset of A which is related to B.

Let the group B be presented as semigroup by generators and relations:

$$B = sgp\langle Y|R\rangle$$

where R is a Gröbner-Shirshov bases for B with the deg-lex order $<_B$ on Y^*.

For convenience, we can assume that R is a minimal Gröbner-Shirshov bases in a sense that the leading monomials are not contained each other as subwords, in particular, they are pairwise different. Let $R = \{v = h_v | v \in \Omega\}$, where v is the leading term of the polynomial $f_v = v - h_v$ in $k\langle Y\rangle$. Let

$$G = E(A, Y, a^y, (v)) = sgp\langle A_1 \cup Y|S\rangle$$

where $A_1 = A\backslash\{1\}$, $S = \{aa' = [aa'], v = h_v \cdot (v),\ ay = ya^y | v \in \Omega,\ a, a' \in A_1,\ y \in Y\}$, $\{(v)|v \in \Omega\} \subseteq A$ a factor set of B in A, $\psi_y :\ A \to A,\ a \mapsto a^y$ an automorphism.

Let A_1 be a total ordered set. Then, by the deg-lex order, we have the monomial total order $<_A$ on A_1^*.

We order the set $(A_1 \cup Y)^*$. For each element d in $(A_1 \cup Y)^*$, d has a unique expression: $d = e_1 y_1 \cdots e_t y_t e_{t+1}$, where each $e_l \in A_1^*,\ y_l \in Y$. Suppose that $d' = e'_1 y'_1 \cdots e'_r y'_r e'_{r+1} \in (A_1 \cup Y)^*$. Let

$$wt(d) = (t, y_1, \cdots, y_t, e_1, \cdots, e_t, e_{t+1})$$
$$wt(d') = (r, y'_1, \cdots, y'_r, e'_1, \cdots, e'_r, e'_{r+1})$$

We define $d \prec d'$ if $wt(d) < wt(d')$ lexicographically, using the order of natural numbers and the following orders:

(a) $y_l < y'_l$ by the order in Y;

(b) $e_l < e'_l$ by the order $<_A$.

It is clear that $\prec$ is a monomial total order on $(A_1 \cup Y)^*$ which extends the orders $<_A$ and $<_B$. We call such an order the tower order.

In S, the possible compositions are related to the following ambiguities:

$$w_1 = aa'a'', \quad w, \quad av, \quad aa'y$$

where $v \in \Omega, a, a', a'' \in A_1,\ y \in Y$ and w is an ambiguity appeared in R.

It is clear that for $w_1 = aa'a''$, the composition is trivial modulo S since $[[aa']a''] = [a[a'a'']]$.

For $w_1 = aa'y$, since

$$(aa' - [aa'], a'y - ya'^y)_{w_1} = -[aa']y + aya'^y \equiv -y[aa']^y + ya^y a'^y \equiv 0 \ \ mod(S, w_1)$$

the composition is trivial modulo S.

For any $a \in A,\ w_1 = av,\ v = y_1 \cdots y_r,\ h_v = y'_1 \cdots y'_t$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(ay_1 - y_1 a^{y_1}, v - h_v \cdot (v))_{w_1} &\equiv -y_1 a^{\psi_{y_1}} y_2 \cdots y_r + a h_v \cdot (v) \\
&\equiv -y_1 y_2 \cdots y_r a^{\psi_{y_1}\psi_{y_2}\cdots\psi_{y_r}} + y'_1 \cdots y'_t a^{\psi_{y'_1}\cdots\psi_{y'_t}} \cdot (v) \\
&\equiv -h_v \cdot (v) a^{\psi_{y_1}\psi_{y_2}\cdots\psi_{y_r}} + h_v a^{\psi_{y'_1}\cdots\psi_{y'_t}} \cdot (v) \\
&\equiv -h_v((v) a^{\psi_{y_1}\psi_{y_2}\cdots\psi_{y_r}} - a^{\psi_{y'_1}\cdots\psi_{y'_t}} \cdot (v)) \\
&\equiv h_v(a^{h_v}(v) - (v)a^v) \ \ mod(S, w_1)
\end{aligned}$$

where $a^{\psi_{y_1}\cdots\psi_{y_r}} = a^v$ if $v = y_1 \cdots y_r$.

Then, for $w_1 = av,\ v \in \Omega$, the composition is trivial modulo S if and only if

$$(v)a^v = a^{h_v}(v) \tag{1}$$

holds in $\bar{A}$, where $\bar{A}$ is the natural homomorphic image of A in G.

Since R is a minimal Gröbner-Shirshov bases, all compositions in R are only intersection ones. Now, for $w_1 = w = v_1 c = dv_2,\ v_1, v_2 \in \Omega,\ c, d \in Y^*,\ deg(v_1) + deg(v_2) > deg(w)$, we have,

$$f_{v_1} c - d f_{v_2} = d h_{v_2} - h_{v_1} c \equiv 0 \ \ mod(R, w)$$

It means that there exists a $z \in Y^*$ such that

$$dh_{v_2} \equiv z \mod(R, w)$$
$$h_{v_1} c \equiv z \mod(R, w)$$

Now, by invoking that

$$\begin{aligned} & (v_1 - h_{v_1} \cdot (v_1), v_2 - h_{v_2} \cdot (v_2))_{w_1} = -(h_{v_1} \cdot (v_1))c + d(h_{v_2} \cdot (v_2)) \\ \equiv \; & (dh_{v_2})(v_2) - (h_{v_1} c)(v_1)^c \mod(S, w_1) \end{aligned}$$

there exist $\xi_{(v_1,v_2)_w}(v),\ \zeta_{(v_1,v_2)_w}(v) \in A$ such that

$$(v_1 - h_{v_1} \cdot (v_1), v_2 - h_{v_2} \cdot (v_2))_{w_1} \equiv z(\xi_{(v_1,v_2)_w}(v) - \zeta_{(v_1,v_2)_w}(v)) \mod(S, w_1) \tag{2}$$

where $\xi_{(v_1,v_2)_w}(v)$ and $\zeta_{(v_1,v_2)_w}(v)$ are functions of $\{(v)|v \in \Omega\}$.

In fact by the previous formulas we have an algorithm to find the functions $\xi_{(v_1,v_2)_w}(v)$ and $\zeta_{(v_1,v_2)_w}(v)$.

Then, for $w_1 = w$, the composition is trivial modulo S if and only if

$$\xi_{(v_1,v_2)_w}(v) = \zeta_{(v_1,v_2)_w}(v) \tag{3}$$

holds in $\bar{A}$.

It is reminded that, if $z = y^{-1} \in Y,\ y \in Y$ and R contains the relation $f_v = y^\epsilon y^{-\epsilon} - 1,\ \epsilon = \pm 1$, then in the factor set $\{(v)|v \in \Omega\}$, $(v) = 1$ in $\bar{A}$.

Therefore, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1 *Let A, B be groups, $B = sgp\langle Y|R\rangle$, where $R = \{v = h_v | v \in \Omega\}$ is a minimal Gröbner-Shirshov bases for B and v the leading term of the polynomial $f_v = v - h_v$ in $k\langle Y\rangle$. Let*

$$G = E(A, Y, a^y, (v)) = sgp\langle A_1 \cup Y | S\rangle$$

where $A_1 = A\backslash\{1\},\ S = \{aa' = [aa'],\ v = h_v \cdot (v),\ ay = ya^y | v \in \Omega,\ a, a' \in A_1,\ y \in Y\}$, $\psi_y : A \to A,\ a \mapsto a^y$ an automorphism, $\{(v)|v \in \Omega\} \subseteq A$ a factor set of B in A with $(v) = 1$ if $f_v = y^\epsilon y^{-\epsilon} - 1,\ y \in Y,\ \epsilon = \pm 1$. Then, for the tower order defined as before, S is a Gröbner-Shirshov bases for G if and only if for any $v \in \Omega,\ a \in A$ and any composition $(f_{v_1}, f_{v_2})_w$ of R in $k\langle Y\rangle$,

$$(v)a^v = a^{h_v}(v) \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_{(v_1,v_2)_w}(v) = \zeta_{(v_1,v_2)_w}(v) \tag{4}$$

hold in A, where $\xi_{(v_1,v_2)_w}(v)$ and $\zeta_{(v_1,v_2)_w}(v)$ are defined by (2). Moreover, if this is the case, G is a Schreier extension of A by B in a natural way.

Remark. We call (4) the extension conditions of A by B.

Proof. If S is a Gröbner-Shirshov bases in $k\langle A_1 \cup Y\rangle$ then by Composition-Diamond Lemma, the group $\bar{A}$ is the same as A. Then, (1) and (3) imply (4). Conversely, it is trivial that (4) implies (1) and (3). Now, we need only to prove the last statement of the theorem that G is a Schreier extension of A by B in a natural way. By Composition-Diamond Lemma, each element in G can be uniquely written as ba, where $a \in A$ and $b \in Y^*$ is R-irreducible. In G, the multiplication is defined as follows: for any $a, a' \in A,\ b, b' \in Y^*$,

$$ba \cdot b'a' = [bb']\theta_{bb'}(v)a^{b'}a'$$

where $bb' \equiv [bb'] \mod(R)$, $[bb']$ is R-irreducible and $\theta_{bb'}(v) \in A$ is a function of $\{(v)|v \in \Omega\}$. From this it follows that A is normal subgroup of G and $G/A \cong B$ as groups. $\square$

Lemma 2.2 *Let the notations be as before. Let the group C be a Schreier extension of A by B. Then, there exist a factor set $\{(v)|v \in \Omega\}$ of B in A with $(v) = 1$ if $f_v = y^{\epsilon}y^{-\epsilon} - 1,\ y \in Y,\ \epsilon = \pm 1$ and $\{a^y|y \in Y,\ A \to A,\ a \mapsto a^y$ is an isomorphism$\}$ such that (4) holds. Moreover, if this is the case, $C \cong G$, where G is defined in Theorem 2.1.*

Proof. Let $\sigma :\ B \to C/A,\ y \mapsto g_yA$ be an isomorphism. Since, in B, $v = h_v$ for any $v \in \Omega$, we have $g_vA = g_{h_v}A$. Then, for any $v \in \Omega$, there exists a unique $(v) \in A$ such that $g_v = g_{h_v} \cdot (v)$. Thus, we obtain a factor set $\{(v)|v \in \Omega\}$ of B in A.

For any $y \in Y$, let $\psi_y :\ A \to A,\ a \mapsto a^y = g_y^{-1}ag_y$. Then, it is clear that ψ_y is an automorphism of A because $A \lhd C$.

Since σ is an isomorphism, each element $c \in C$ can be uniquely expressed as $c = g_ba$, where $a \in A$ and $b \in Y^*$ is R-irreducible. Hence, by Composition-Diamond Lemma, S is a Gröbner-Shirshov bases in $k\langle A_1 \cup Y\rangle$ and so (4) holds.

Let $c' = g_{b'}a' \in C$. Then

$$cc' = g_bag_{b'}a' = g_bg_{b'}a^{b'}a' = g_{bb'}\theta_{bb'}(v)a^{b'}a'$$

where $\theta_{bb'}(v) \in A$ is a function of $\{(v)|v \in \Omega\}$. Now, it is easy to see that $C \to G = E(A, Y, a^y, (v)) = sgp\langle A_1 \cup Y|S\rangle$ by $\tau(g_b) = b,\ \tau(a) = a$ is an isomorphism. $\square$

By using Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we have the following theorem which characterizes completely the Schreier extensions of groups.

Theorem 2.3 *Let A, B be groups, $B = sgp\langle Y|R\rangle$, where $R = \{v = h_v|v \in \Omega\}$ is a minimal Gröbner-Shirshov bases for B and v the leading term of the polynomial $v - h_v$ in $k\langle Y\rangle$. Then, a group C is a Schreier extension of A by B if and only if there exist $\{a^y|y \in Y,\ A \to A,\ a \mapsto a^y$ is an isomorphism$\}$ and a factor set $\{(v)|v \in \Omega\}$ of B in A with $(v) = 1$ if $f_v = y^{\epsilon}y^{-\epsilon} - 1,\ y \in Y,\ \epsilon = \pm 1$ such that (4) holds. Moreover, if this is the case, $C \cong G = E(A, Y, a^y, (v)) = sgp\langle A_1 \cup Y|S\rangle$, where $A_1 = A\backslash\{1\},\ S = \{aa' = [aa'],\ v = h_v \cdot (v),\ ay = ya^y|v \in \Omega,\ a, a' \in A_1,\ y \in Y\}$.*

Remark. In the above theorems, if the group A is also presented by generators and relations: $A = sgp\langle X|R_A\rangle$, where R_A is a Gröbner-Shirshov bases for A with the deg-lex order $<_A$ on X^*. Then, by replacing A_1 with X, $\{aa' = [aa']|a, a' \in A_1\}$ with R_A and a with x $(x \in X)$, the results hold.

A special case of Theorem 2.3 is when the group B is presented as $B = sgp\langle B_1|R\rangle$, where $B_1 = B\backslash\{1\},\ R = \{bb' = [bb']|b, b' \in B_1\}$. It is obvious that, for a deg-lex order, R is a Gröbner-Shirshov bases for B. Now, if this is the case, it is easy to check, by (1) and the algorithm (2), that the condition (4) is: for any $b, b', b'' \in B, a \in A$,

$$(b, b')a^{bb'} = a^{[bb']}(b, b') \quad \text{and} \quad (b, b'b'')(b', b'') = (bb', b'')(b, b')^{b''} \tag{5}$$

Then, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4 *Let A, B be groups. Let*

$$G = E(A, B, a^b, (b, b')) = sgp\langle A_1 \cup B_1 | S\rangle$$

where $A_1 = A\backslash\{1\},\ B_1 = B\backslash\{1\},\ S = \{aa' = [aa'],\ bb' = [bb'](b, b'),\ ab = ba^b | a, a' \in A_1,\ b, b' \in B_1\}$, $\{(b, b') | b, b' \in B\}$ a factor set of B in A with $(b, b') = 1$ if $b' = b^{-1}$ and $b :\ A \to A,\ a \mapsto a^b$ an automorphism. Then the following statements hold.

1. *For the tower order defined as before, S is a Gröbner-Shirshov bases for G if and only if (5) holds. Moreover, if this is the case, G is a Schreier extension of A by B in a natural way.*

2. *A group C is a Schreier extension of A by B if and only if there exist a factor set $\{(b, b') | b, b' \in B\}$ of B in A and $\{b :\ A \to A,\ a \mapsto a^b$ is an isomorphism$\}$ such that (5) holds. Moreover, if this is the case, $C \cong G$.*

Remark: Theorem 2.4, 2 is Schreier's theorem in [13].

Now, we give some applications of the above results.

Let A, B, G be groups. The previous theorems give an answer to how to find the conditions which makes G to be a Schreier extension of A by B. In fact, it is essential to find the extension conditions (4), that is, to find functions $\xi_{(v_1,v_2)_w}(v),\ \zeta_{(v_1,v_2)_w}(v)$ which are given by the algorithm (2).

As results, by using the extension conditions (4), let us give some examples. We give the characterization of the extension of A by B when the B is cyclic group and free commutative group, respectively.

Theorem 2.5 *([7] Theorem 15.3.4) Let A, B, G be groups and $B = sgp\langle x | x^n = 1\rangle$ the cyclic group of the order n. Then, G is isomorphic to an extension of A by B if and only if there exist $a_0 \in A$ and an automorphism φ of A such that*

$$a^{\varphi^n} = a_0^{-1} a a_0 \quad and \quad a_0^{\varphi} = a_0$$

Moreover, if this is the case, $G \cong E(A, x, a^x, (a_0)) = sgp\langle A_1 \cup \{x\} | S\rangle$, where $A_1 = A\backslash\{1\},\ S = \{aa' = [aa'],\ x^n = a_0,\ ax = xa^{\varphi} | a, a' \in A_1\}$.

Proof. Clearly, $R = \{x^n = 1\}$ is a Gröbner-Shirshov bases for B. We need only to consider the composition in S: $(x^n - a_0, x^n - a_0)_w,\ w = x^{n+1}$. Since $(x^n - a_0, x^n - a_0)_w = -a_0 x + x a_0 \equiv -x a_0^x + x a_0 = x(a_0 - a_0^x)$, we obtain the extension condition mentioned in the theorem, where $a^x = a^{\varphi}$. Now, by Theorem 2.3, the result follows. □

Theorem 2.6 *Let A, B, G be groups, $X = \{x_i | i \in I\},\ I$ a total ordered set. We extend the order in I to the set $X \cup X^{-1}$: for any $i, j \in I,\ x_i^{-1} < x_i < x_j^{-1} < x_j$ if $i < j$ and then we order $(X \cup X^{-1})^*$ by the deg-lex order. Let $B = sgp\langle X \cup X^{-1} | R\rangle$, where $R = \{x_p^{\varepsilon} x_q^{\delta} = x_q^{\delta} x_p^{\varepsilon},\ x_q^{\varepsilon} x_q^{-\varepsilon} = 1 | \varepsilon, \delta = \pm 1,\ p > q,\ p, q \in I\}$. Then, G is isomorphic to an extension of A by B if and only if there exist a factor set $\{(x_p^{\varepsilon}, x_q^{\delta}) | \varepsilon, \delta = \pm 1,\ p > q,\ p, q \in I\}$ of B in A and automorphisms $x :\ A \to A,\ a \mapsto a^x,\ x \in X \cup X^{-1}$ such that for any $p, q, r \in I,\ p > q > r,\ \varepsilon, \delta, \gamma = \pm 1,\ a \in A$, the following equations hold.*

1. $(x_p^{\varepsilon}, x_q^{\delta})(x_p^{\varepsilon}, x_r^{\gamma})^{x_q^{\delta}}(x_q^{\delta}, x_r^{\gamma}) = (x_q^{\delta}, x_r^{\gamma})^{x_p^{\varepsilon}}(x_p^{\varepsilon}, x_r^{\gamma})(x_p^{\varepsilon}, x_q^{\delta})^{x_r^{\gamma}}$

2. $(x_p^{\varepsilon}, x_q^{-\delta})(x_p^{\varepsilon}, x_q^{\delta})^{x_q^{-\delta}} = 1$

3. $(x_p^{\varepsilon}, x_q^{\delta})^{x_p^{-\varepsilon}}(x_p^{-\varepsilon}, x_q^{\delta}) = 1$

4. $a^{x_q^{\delta}x_p^{\varepsilon}}(x_p^{\varepsilon}, x_q^{\delta}) = (x_p^{\varepsilon}, x_q^{\delta})a^{x_p^{\varepsilon}x_q^{\delta}}$

5. $a^{x_r^{\varepsilon}x_r^{-\varepsilon}} = a$

Moreover, if this is the case, $G \cong sgp\langle A_1 \cup X \cup X^{-1}|S\rangle$, *where* $A_1 = A\backslash\{1\},\ S = \{aa' = [aa'], ax^{\varepsilon} = xa^{x^{\varepsilon}}, x_p^{\varepsilon}x_q^{\delta} = x_q^{\delta}x_p^{\varepsilon} \cdot (x_p^{\varepsilon}, x_q^{\delta}),\ x_q^{\varepsilon}x_q^{-\varepsilon} = 1|\varepsilon, \delta = \pm 1,\ p > q,\ p, q \in I,\ x \in X, a, a' \in A_1\}$.

Proof. It is easy to check that for the given order, R is a Gröbner-Shirshov bases for B. Then, B is the free abelian group generated by X. The possible compositions in R are related to the following ambiguities: $w_1 = x_p^{\varepsilon}x_q^{\delta}x_r^{\gamma},\ w_2 = x_p^{\varepsilon}x_q^{\delta}x_q^{-\delta},\ w_3 = x_p^{\varepsilon}x_p^{-\varepsilon}x_q^{\delta},\ w_4 = x_r^{\varepsilon}x_r^{-\varepsilon}x_r^{\varepsilon}, p, q, r \in I,\ p > q > r$. Then, in S, by calculating the corresponding compositions and by noting that the factors $(x_q^{\varepsilon}, x_q^{-\varepsilon}) = 1,\ q \in I$, we obtain the equations 1-3, respectively. The equations 4 and 5 follow from the formula (1). Now, by Theorem 2.3, the result follows. □

3 Structure of Schreier extension by an HNN group

In this section, we give the structure of Schreier extension of group A by B, where the group B is an HNN-extension of a group.

A Gröbner-Shirshov bases for HNN-extension of group is given in [6] by using the Generalized Composition-Diamond Lemma (Lemma 3.2) with a special total order (not monomial). Thus, we can not use directly the results of the above section to find the extension conditions for HNN-extension of group.

Definition 3.1 *([8],[10],[11],[12]) Let H be a group and let C and D be subgroups of H with $\phi : C \to D$ an isomorphism. Then the HNN-extension of H relative to C , D and ϕ is the group*

$$B = gp\langle H, t; t^{-1}ct = \phi(c), c \in C\rangle.$$

Let

$$H/C = \{g_iC|i \in I\},\ H/D = \{h_jD|j \in J\},$$

where $\{g_i|i \in I\}$ and $\{h_j|j \in J\}$ are the coset representatives of C and D in H, respectively. Then, for any $h \in H$, there exist uniquely $h_{_C} \in \{g_i|i \in I\}, h_{_D} \in \{h_j|j \in J\}, c_{_h} \in C, d_{_h} \in D$ such that $h = h_{_C}c_{_h} = h_{_D}d_{_h}$.

In [6], the group B is presented as

$$B = sgp\langle H_1, t, t^{-1}|R\rangle,$$

where $H_1 = H\backslash\{1\},\ R = \{hh^{'} = [hh^{'}], ht = h_{_C}t\phi(c_{_h}), ht^{-1} = h_{_D}t^{-1}\phi^{-1}(d_{_h}), t^{\varepsilon}t^{-\varepsilon} = 1|h, h' \in H_1,\ \varepsilon = \pm 1\}$.

Let $H_1 = \{h_\alpha | \alpha \in \Omega\}$ and Ω, I, J the linearly ordered sets.

Let A be a group,

$$G = sgp\langle A_1 \cup H_1 \cup \{t, t^{-1}\} | S\rangle$$

where $A_1 = A\backslash\{1\}$ and S consists of the following relations:

(3.1) $aa' = [aa']$

(3.2) $ay = ya^y$

(3.3) $hh' = [hh'](h, h')$

(3.4) $ht = h_{_C} t\phi(c_{_h})(h, t)$

(3.5) $ht^{-1} = h_{_D} t^{-1}\phi^{-1}(d_{_h})(h, t^{-1})$

(3.6) $t^\varepsilon t^{-\varepsilon} = 1$

where $a, a' \in A_1,\ y \in H_1 \cup \{t, t^{-1}\},\ h, h' \in H_1,\ \varepsilon = \pm 1,\ \{(h, h'), (h, t^\varepsilon) | h, h' \in H_1,\ \varepsilon = \pm 1\} \subseteq A$ a factor set of B in A with $(h, h') = 1$ if $h' = h^{-1}$ and $\psi_y :\ A \to A,\ a \mapsto a^y$ an automorphism.

The following lemma comes from [6].

Lemma 3.2 *([6], Lemma 2.1) (Generalized Composition-Diamond Lemma) Let $S \subseteq k\langle X\rangle,\ A = k\langle X|S\rangle$ and " $<$ " a total order on X^* such that*

(I) $\overline{asb} = a\bar{s}b$ *for any* $a, b \in X^*,\ s \in S$;

(II) for each composition $(s_1, s_2)_w$ *in* S, *there exists a presentation*

$$(s_1, s_2)_w = \sum_i \alpha_i a_i t_i b_i,\ a_i \bar{t_i} b_i < w, \quad \text{where } t_i \in S,\ a_i, b_i \in X^*,\ \alpha_i \in k$$

such that for any $c, d \in X^*$, *we have*

$$ca_i \bar{t_i} b_i d < cwd$$

Then, the following statements hold.

(i) S is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis.

(ii) For any $f \in k\langle X\rangle,\ 0 \neq f \in Id(S) \Rightarrow \bar{f} = a\bar{s}b$ *for some* $s \in S,\ a, b \in X^*$.

(iii) The set

$$Irr(S) = \{u \in X^* | u \neq a\bar{s}b, s \in S, a, b \in X^*\}$$

is a basis of the algebra A.

In [6], a special total order (not monomial) $<_B$ on $(H_1 \cup \{t, t^{-1}\})^*$ is defined such that R satisfies the conditions (I) and (II) in the Lemma 3.2, and then, with the order $<_B$, R is a Gröbner-Shirshov bases for B. Now, we extend $<_B$ on $(H_1 \cup \{t, t^{-1}\})^*$ in [6] to one on $(A_1 \cup H_1 \cup \{t, t^{-1}\})^*$ such that, with such an order, S satisfies the conditions (I) and (II) in the Lemma 3.2 under some conditions.

Let A_1 be a total ordered set. We order the set $(A_1 \cup H_1 \cup \{t, t^{-1}\})^*$ by the following steps (also see [6]).

Step 1: order the set H in three different ways:

$(i)_1$ Let $1 < h_\alpha < h_\beta < \cdots$ $(\alpha < \beta)$ be ordered by Ω. Then we denote this order by $(H, >)$ and call it an absolute order.

$(ii)_1$ For any $h, h' \in H$, suppose that $h = h_c c_h, h' = h'_{c} c_{h'}$. Then $h >_c h'$ if and only if $(h_c, c_h) > (h'_c, c'_h)$ which is ordered lexicographically: elements h_c, h'_c by I, elements $c_h, c_{h'}$ by $(i)_1$. We denote this order by $(H, >_c)$ and call it the C-order.

$(iii)_1$ For any $h, h' \in H$, suppose that $h = h_d d_h, h' = h'_d d_{h'}$. Then $h >_d h'$ if and only if $(h_d, d_h) > (h'_d, d_{h'})$ which is ordered lexicographically: elements h_d, h'_d by J, elements $d_h, d_{h'}$ by $(i)_1$. We denote this order by $(H, >_d)$ and call it the D-order.

Step 2: order the set $(A_1 \cup H_1)^*$ in three different ways too.

Each element in $(A_1 \cup H_1)^*$ has a unique form $e = e_1 h_1 \cdots e_n h_n e_{n+1}$, where each $e_i \in A_1^*,\ h_i \in H_1,\ n \geq 0$. Suppose that $e' = e'_1 h'_1 \cdots e'_l h'_l e'_{l+1} \in (A_1 \cup H_1)^*$. Let

$$wt_h(e) = (n, h_1, \cdots, h_n, e_1, \cdots, e_n, e_{n+1})$$
$$wt_h(e') = (l, h'_1, \cdots, h'_l, e'_1, \cdots, e'_l, e'_{l+1})$$

We define $e < e'$ ($e <_c e',\ e <_d e'$) if $wt_h(e) < wt_h(e')$ ($wt_h(e) <_c wt_h(e'),\ wt_h(e) <_d wt_h(e')$) lexicographically, using the order of natural numbers and the following orders:

$(i)_2$ The absolute order $((A_1 \cup H_1)^*, \leq)$: to compare h_i, h'_i by $(i)_1$ and e_i, e'_i by the deg-lex order on A_1.

$(ii)_2$ The C-order $((A_1 \cup H_1)^*, \leq_c)$: firstly to compare $h_1, \cdots, h_{n-1},\ (n \geq 1)$, lexicographically by absolute order $(i)_1$, secondly for the last element h_n by C-order $(ii)_1$ and finally for e_i, e'_i by the deg-lex order on A_1.

$(iii)_2$ The D-order $((A_1 \cup H_1)^*, \leq_d)$ is similar to $(ii)_2$ by replacing $>_c$ with $>_d$.

Step 3: order the set $(A_1 \cup H_1 \cup \{t, t^{-1}\})^*$.

Each element in $(A_1 \cup H_1 \cup \{t, t^{-1}\})^*$ has a unique form $u = u_1 t^{\varepsilon_1} \cdots u_k t^{\varepsilon_k} u_{k+1}$, where each $u_i \in (A_1 \cup H_1)^*, \varepsilon_i = \pm 1,\ k \geq 0$. Suppose that $v = v_1 t^{\delta_1} \cdots v_l t^{\delta_l} v_{l+1} \in (A_1 \cup H_1 \cup \{t, t^{-1}\})^*$. Let

$$wt(u) = (k, t^{\varepsilon_1}, \cdots, t^{\varepsilon_k}, wt_h(u_1), \cdots, wt_h(u_k), wt_h(u_{k+1}))$$
$$wt(v) = (l, t^{\delta_1}, \cdots, t^{\delta_l}, wt_h(v_1), \cdots, wt_h(v_l), wt_h(v_{l+1}))$$

We define $u \succ v$ if $wt(u) > wt(v)$ lexicographically, using the order of natural numbers and the following orders:

(a) $t > t^{-1}$

(b) $wt_h(u_i) >_{_C} wt_h(v_i)$ if $\varepsilon_i = 1,\ 1 \le i \le k$

(c) $wt_h(u_i) >_{_D} wt_h(v_i)$ if $\varepsilon_i = -1,\ 1 \le i \le k$

(d) $wt_h(u_{k+1}) > wt_h(v_{l+1})$ $(k = l)$, the absolute order $(i)_2$

In S, the possible compositions are related to ambiguities:

$$w_1 = hh'h'',\ w_2 = hh't,\ w_3 = hh't^{-1},\ w_4 = htt^{-1},\ w_5 = ht^{-1}t$$
$$w_6 = ahh',\ w_7 = aht,\ w_8 = aht^{-1},\ w_9 = at^{\varepsilon}t^{-\varepsilon},\ w_{10} = t^{\varepsilon}t^{-\varepsilon}t^{\varepsilon}$$
$$w_{11} = aa'a'',\ w_{12} = aa'y$$

where $h, h', h'' \in H_1,\ a, a', a'' \in A_1,\ y \in H_1 \cup \{t, t^{-1}\},\ \varepsilon_i = \pm 1$. By using algorithm (4), we have the following conditions $(w_i \leftrightarrow (hi),\ 1 \le i \le 9)$ in A:

(h1). $(h, h'h'')(h', h'') = (hh', h'')(h, h')^{h''}$

(h2). $(hh', t)(h, h')^t = (hh'_{_C}, t)^{\phi(c_{h'})}(h', t)$

(h3). $(hh', t^{-1})(h, h')^{t^{-1}} = (hh'_{_D}, t^{-1})^{\phi^{-1}(d_{h'})}(h', t^{-1})$

(h4). $(\phi(c_{_h}), t^{-1})(h, t)^{t^{-1}} = 1$

(h5). $(\phi^{-1}(d_{_h}), t)(h, t^{-1})^t = 1$

(h6). $a^{hh'}(h, h') = (h, h')a^{[hh']}$

(h7). $a^{h_C{}^{t\phi(c_h)}}(h, t) = (h, t)a^{ht}$

(h8). $a^{h_D{}^{t^{-1}\phi^{-1}(d_h)}}(h, t^{-1}) = (h, t^{-1})a^{ht^{-1}}$

(h9). $a^{t^{\varepsilon}t^{-\varepsilon}} = a$

Lemma 3.3 *Let the notations as above and the conditions (I) and (II) be in Lemma 3.2. Then, for S and the order $\succ$, in $k\langle A_1 \cup H_1 \cup \{t, t^{-1}\}\rangle$, the following statements hold.*

(i) (I) holds.

(ii) S and $\succ$ satisfy (II) if and only if $(hi),\ 1 \le i \le 9$ hold.

Proof. Let $c, d \in (A_1 \cup H_1 \cup \{t, t^{-1}\})^*,\ c = c_1t^{\varepsilon_1}\cdots c_kt^{\varepsilon_k}c_{k+1},\ d = d_1t^{\delta_1}\cdots d_lt^{\delta_l}d_{l+1}$ where each $c_i, d_i \in (A_1 \cup H_1)^*, \varepsilon_i, \delta_i = \pm 1,\ k, l \ge 0$. Let $c_{k+1} = a_1h_1\cdots a_ph_pa_{p+1},\ d_1 = a'_1h'_1\cdots a'_qh'_qa'_{q+1},\ a_i, a'_i \in A_1^*,\ h_i, h'_i \in H_1, p, q \ge 0$.

(i) To prove $\overline{csd} = c\bar{s}d$ for any $s \in S$, it suffices to prove:

(I1) $caa'd \succ c[aa']d$

(I2) $cayd \succ cya^yd$

(I3) $chh'd \succ c[hh'](h,h')d$

(I4) $chtd \succ ch_{_C}t\phi(c_{_h})(h,t)d$

(I5) $cht^{-1}d \succ ch_{_D}t^{-1}\phi^{-1}(d_{_h})(h,t^{-1})d$

(I6) $ct^{\varepsilon}t^{-\varepsilon}d \succ cd$

We only check (I2) and (I4). For (I1), (I3), (I5) and (I6), the proof are similar.

For (I2), we have three cases to consider: $y = t,\ y = t^{-1}, y = h \in H_1$. Suppose that $y = h$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} wt(cahd) &= (k+l, t^{\varepsilon_1}, \cdots, t^{\varepsilon_k}, t^{\delta_1}, \cdots, t^{\delta_l}, wt_h(c_1), \cdots, wt_h(c_k), \\ &\quad wt_h(c_{k+1}ahd_1), wt_h(d_2), \cdots, wt_h(d_{l+1})) \\ wt(cha^hd) &= (k+l, t^{\varepsilon_1}, \cdots, t^{\varepsilon_k}, t^{\delta_1}, \cdots, t^{\delta_l}, wt_h(c_1), \cdots, wt_h(c_k), \\ &\quad wt_h(c_{k+1}ha^hd_1), wt_h(d_2), \cdots, wt_h(d_{l+1})) \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} wt_h(c_{k+1}ahd_1) &= (p+q+1, h_1, \cdots, h_p, h, h'_1, \cdots, h'_q, a_1, \cdots, \\ &\quad a_p, a_{p+1}a, a'_1, \cdots) \\ wt_h(c_{k+1}ha^hd_1) &= (p+q+1, h_1, \cdots, h_p, h, h'_1, \cdots, h'_q, a_1, \cdots, \\ &\quad a_p, a_{p+1}, a^ha'_1, \cdots) \end{aligned}$$

Since $a_{p+1}a > a_{p+1}$, we have $wt_h(c_{k+1}ahd_1) > wt_h(c_{k+1}ha^hd_1)$ and hence, $wt(cahd) \succ wt(cha^hd)$.

Suppose that $y = t$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} wt(catd) &= (k+l+1, t^{\varepsilon_1}, \cdots, t^{\varepsilon_k}, t, t^{\delta_1}, \cdots, t^{\delta_l}, wt_h(c_1), \cdots, wt_h(c_k), \\ &\quad wt_h(c_{k+1}a), wt_h(d_1), \cdots, wt_h(d_l), wt_h(d_{l+1})) \\ wt(cta^td) &= (k+l+1, t^{\varepsilon_1}, \cdots, t^{\varepsilon_k}, t, t^{\delta_1}, \cdots, t^{\delta_l}, wt_h(c_1), \cdots, wt_h(c_k), \\ &\quad wt_h(c_{k+1}), wt_h(a^td_1), \cdots, wt_h(d_l), wt_h(d_{l+1})) \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} wt_h(c_{k+1}a) &= (p, h_1, \cdots, h_p, a_1, \cdots, a_p, a_{p+1}a) \\ wt_h(c_{k+1}) &= (p, h_1, \cdots, h_p, a_1, \cdots, a_p, a_{p+1}) \end{aligned}$$

Since $a_{p+1}a > a_{p+1}$, we have $wt_h(c_{k+1}a) > wt_h(c_{k+1})$ and hence, $wt(catd) \succ wt(cta^td)$.

Similarly, for $y = t^{-1}$, $wt(cayd) \succ wt(cya^yd)$ holds.

For (I4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} wt(chtd) &= (k+l+1, t^{\varepsilon_1}, \cdots, t^{\varepsilon_k}, t, t^{\delta_1}, \cdots, t^{\delta_l}, wt_h(c_1), \cdots, wt_h(c_k), \\ &\quad wt_h(c_{k+1}h), wt_h(d_1), \cdots, wt_h(d_l), wt_h(d_{l+1})) \\ wt(ch_{_C}t\phi(c_{_h})(h,t)d) &= (k+l+1, t^{\varepsilon_1}, \cdots, t^{\varepsilon_k}, t, t^{\delta_1}, \cdots, t^{\delta_l}, wt_h(c_1), \cdots, wt_h(c_k), \\ &\quad wt_h(c_{k+1}h_{_C}), wt_h(\phi(c_{_h})(h,t)d_1), \cdots, wt_h(d_l), wt_h(d_{l+1})) \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} wt_h(c_{k+1}h) &= (p+1, h_1, \cdots, h_p, h, a_1, \cdots, a_p, a_{p+1}, 1) \\ wt_h(c_{k+1}h_{_C}) &= (p+1, h_1, \cdots, h_p, h_{_C}, a_1, \cdots, a_p, a_{p+1}, 1) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon = 1$ and $h_{_C} <_C h$, we have $wt_h(c_{k+1}h_{_C}) <_C wt_h(c_{k+1}h)$ and hence, $chtd \succ ch_{_C}t\phi(c_{_h})(h,t)d$.

Therefore, we show (i).

(ii) It is easy to check that, for $w_{10} = t^{\varepsilon}t^{-\varepsilon}t^{\varepsilon}$, $w_{11} = aa'a''$ and $w_{12} = aa'y$, the corresponding composition in S satisfies (II).

Now, we prove that for $w_i,\ 1 \le i \le 9$, the corresponding composition in S satisfies (II) if and only if (hi) holds.

For $w_i,\ 1 \le i \le 9$, the corresponding composition in S are, respectively,

(II1) $(hh' - [hh'](h,h'), h'h'' - [h'h''](h',h''))_{w_1},\ w_1 = hh'h''$

(II2) $(hh' - [hh'](h,h'), h't - h'_{_C}t\phi(c_{_{h'}})(h',t))_{w_2},\ w_2 = hh't$

(II3) $(hh' - [hh'](h,h'), h't^{-1} - h'_{_D}t^{-1}\phi^{-1}(d_{_{h'}})(h',t^{-1}))_{w_3},\ w_3 = hh't^{-1}$

(II4) $(ht - h_{_C}t\phi(c_{_h})(h,t), tt^{-1} - 1)_{w_4},\ w_4 = htt^{-1}$

(II5) $(ht^{-1} - h_{_D}t^{-1}\phi^{-1}(d_{_h})(h,t^{-1}), t^{-1}t - 1)_{w_5},\ w_5 = ht^{-1}t$

(II6) $(ah - ha^h, hh' - [hh'](h,h'))_{w_6},\ w_6 = ahh'$

(II7) $(ah - ha^h, ht - h_{_C}t\phi(c_{_h})(h,t))_{w_7},\ w_7 = aht$

(II8) $(ah - ha^h, ht^{-1} - h_{_D}t^{-1}\phi^{-1}(d_{_h})(h,t^{-1}))_{w_8},\ w_8 = aht^{-1}$

(II9) $(at^{\varepsilon} - t^{\varepsilon}a^{t^{\varepsilon}}, t^{\varepsilon}t^{-\varepsilon} - 1)_{w_9},\ w_9 = at^{\varepsilon}t^{-\varepsilon}$

We will check (II2), (II4) and (II7).

For (II2), $w_2 = hh't$, by noting that $[hh'_{_C}]_C = [hh']_C$ and $c_{_{[hh'_{_C}]}}c_{_{h'}} = c_{_{[hh']}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (hh' - [hh'](h,h'), h't - h'_{_C}t\phi(c_{_{h'}})(h',t))_{w_2} \\ = \ & -[hh'](h,h')t + hh'_{_C}t\phi(c_{_{h'}})(h',t) \\ = \ & -[hh']((h,h')t - t(h,h')^t) - ([hh']t - [hh']_{_C}t\phi(c_{_{[hh']}})(hh',t))(h,h')^t \\ & +(hh'_{_C}t - [hh'_{_C}]_{_C}t\phi(c_{_{[hh'_{_C}]}})(hh'_{_C},t))\phi(c_{_{h'}})(h',t) \\ & +[hh'_{_C}]_{_C}t\phi(c_{_{[hh'_{_C}]}})((hh'_{_C},t)\phi(c_{_{h'}}) - \phi(c_{_{h'}})(hh'_{_C},t)^{\phi(c_{_{h'}})})(h',t) \\ & +[hh'_{_C}]_{_C}t\phi(c_{_{[hh'_{_C}]}})\phi(c_{_{h'}})(hh'_{_C},t)^{\phi(c_{_{h'}})}(h',t) - [hh']_{_C}t\phi(c_{_{[hh']}})(hh',t)(h,h')^t \\ = \ & -[hh']t_1 - t_2(h,h')^t + t_3\phi(c_{_{h'}})(h',t) + [hh'_{_C}]_{_C}t\phi(c_{_{[hh'_{_C}]}})t_4(h',t) \\ & +[hh']_{_C}t\phi(c_{_{[hh']}})((hh'_{_C},t)^{\phi(c_{_{h'}})}(h',t) - (hh',t)(h,h')^t) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} & t_1 = (h,h')t - t(h,h')^t, \quad t_2 = [hh']t - [hh']_{_C}t\phi(c_{_{[hh']}})(hh',t) \\ & t_3 = hh'_{_C}t - [hh'_{_C}]_{_C}t\phi(c_{_{[hh'_{_C}]}})(hh'_{_C},t), \quad t_4 = (hh'_{_C},t)\phi(c_{_{h'}}) - \phi(c_{_h})(hh'_{_C},t)^{\phi(c_{_{h'}})} \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, $t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 \in S$ and since

$$\begin{array}{rl} wt_h(c_{k+1}hh') & {}_C> \ wt_h(c_{k+1}[hh'](h,h')),\ wt_h(c_{k+1}[hh']), \\ & \quad wt_h(c_{k+1}hh'_{_C}),\ wt_h(c_{k+1}[hh'_{_C}]_{_C}) \end{array}$$

we have

$$\begin{array}{l} c[hh']\bar{t_1}d = c[hh'](h,h')td \prec chh'td \\ c\bar{t_2}(h,h')^t d = c[hh']t(h,h')^t d \prec chh'td \\ c\bar{t_3}\phi(c_{_{h'}})(h',t)d = chh'_{_C}t\phi(c_{_{h'}})(h',t)d \prec chh'td \\ c[hh'_{_C}]_{_C}t\phi(c_{_{[hh'_{_C}]}})\bar{t_4}(h',t)d = c[hh'_{_C}]_{_C}t\phi(c_{_{[hh'_{_C}]}})(hh'_{_C},t)\phi(c_{_{h'}})(h',t)d \prec chh'td \end{array}$$

For (II4), $w_4 = htt^{-1}$, we have

$$\begin{array}{rl} & (ht - h_{_C}t\phi(c_{_h})(h,t), tt^{-1} - 1)_{w_4} \\ = & -h_{_C}t\phi(c_{_h})(h,t)t^{-1} + h \\ = & -h_{_C}t\phi(c_{_h})((h,t)t^{-1} - t^{-1}(h,t)^{t^{-1}}) \\ & -h_{_C}t(\phi(c_{_h})t^{-1} - t^{-1}c_{_h}(\phi(c_{_h}), t^{-1}))(h,t)^{t^{-1}} \\ & -h_{_C}(tt^{-1} - 1)c_{_h}(\phi(c_{_h}), t^{-1})(h,t)^{t^{-1}} \\ & -h(\phi(c_{_h}), t^{-1})(h,t)^{t^{-1}} + h \\ = & -h_{_C}t\phi(c_{_h})t_1 - h_{_C}tt_2(h,t)^{t^{-1}} - h_{_C}t_3c_{_h}(\phi(c_{_h}), t^{-1})(h,t)^{t^{-1}} \\ & -h((\phi(c_{_h}), t^{-1})(h,t)^{t^{-1}} - 1) \end{array}$$

where $t_1 = (h,t)t^{-1} - t^{-1}(h,t)^{t^{-1}},\ t_2 = \phi(c_{_h})t^{-1} - t^{-1}c_{_h}(\phi(c_{_h}), t^{-1}),\ t_3 = tt^{-1} - 1$. Clearly, $t_1, t_2, t_3 \in S$ and since

$$\begin{array}{l} wt_h(c_{k+1}h)\ {}_C> \ wt_h(c_{k+1}h_{_C}), \quad wt(chtt^{-1}d) = (k+l+2, \cdots) \\ and \quad wt(ch_{_C}c_{_h}(\phi(c_{_h}), t^{-1})(h,t)^{t^{-1}}d) = (k+l, \cdots) \end{array}$$

we have

$$\begin{array}{l} ch_{_C}t\phi(c_{_h})\bar{t_1}d = ch_{_C}t\phi(c_{_h})(h,t)t^{-1}d \prec chtt^{-1}d \\ ch_{_C}t\bar{t_2}(h,t)^{t^{-1}}d = ch_{_C}t\phi(c_{_h})t^{-1}(h,t)^{t^{-1}}d \prec chtt^{-1}d \\ ch_{_C}\bar{t_3}c_{_h}(\phi(c_{_h}), t^{-1})(h,t)^{t^{-1}}d = ch_{_C}tt^{-1}c_{_h}(\phi(c_{_h}), t^{-1})(h,t)^{t^{-1}}d \prec chtt^{-1}d \end{array}$$

For (II7), $w_7 = aht$, we have

$$\begin{array}{rl} & (ah - ha^h, ht - h_{_C}t\phi(c_{_h})(h,t))_{w_7} = -ha^h t + ah_{_C}t\phi(c_{_h})(h,t) \\ = & -h(a^h t - ta^{ht}) - (ht - h_{_C}t\phi(c_{_h})(h,t))a^{ht} + (ah_{_C}t\phi(c_{_h}) - h_{_C}t\phi(c_{_h})a^{h_{_C}t\phi(c_{_h})})(h,t) \\ & +h_{_C}t\phi(c_{_h})(a^{h_{_C}t\phi(c_{_h})}(h,t) - (h,t)a^{ht}) \\ = & -ht_1 - t_2a^{ht} + t_3(h,t) + h_{_C}t\phi(c_{_h})(a^{h_{_C}t\phi(c_{_h})}(h,t) - (h,t)a^{ht}) \end{array}$$

where $t_1 = a^h t - t a^{ht},\ t_2 = ht - h_{_C} t\phi(c_{_h})(h,t),\ t_3 = a h_{_C} t\phi(c_{_h}) - h_{_C} t\phi(c_{_h}) a^{h_{_C} t\phi(c_{_h})} \in S$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
wt(cw_7d) = wt(cahtd) &= (k+l+1, t^{\varepsilon_1}, \cdots, t^{\varepsilon_k}, t, t^{\delta_1}, \cdots, t^{\delta_l}, wt_h(c_1), \cdots, \\
&\quad wt_h(c_k), wt_h(c_{k+1}ah), wt_h(d_1), \cdots) \\
wt(ch\bar{t_1}d) = wt(cha^h td) &= (k+l+1, t^{\varepsilon_1}, \cdots, t^{\varepsilon_k}, t, t^{\delta_1}, \cdots, t^{\delta_l}, wt_h(c_1), \cdots, \\
&\quad wt_h(c_k), wt_h(c_{k+1}ha^h), wt_h(d_1), \cdots) \\
wt(c\bar{t_2}a^{ht}d) = wt(chta^{ht}d) &= (k+l+1, t^{\varepsilon_1}, \cdots, t^{\varepsilon_k}, t, t^{\delta_1}, \cdots, t^{\delta_l}, wt_h(c_1), \cdots, \\
&\quad wt_h(c_k), wt_h(c_{k+1}h), wt_h(a^{ht}d_1), \cdots) \\
wt(c\bar{t_3}(h,t)d) = wt(cah_{_C}t\phi(c_{_h})(h,t)d) &= (k+l+1, t^{\varepsilon_1}, \cdots, t^{\varepsilon_k}, t, t^{\delta_1}, \cdots, t^{\delta_l}, wt_h(c_1), \cdots, \\
&\quad wt_h(c_k), wt_h(c_{k+1}ah_{_C}), wt_h(\phi(c_{_h})(h,t)d_1), \cdots)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
wt_h(c_{k+1}ah) &= (p+1, h_1, \cdots, h_p, h, a_1, \cdots, a_p, a_{p+1}a, 1) \\
wt_h(c_{k+1}ha^h) &= (p+1, h_1, \cdots, h_p, h, a_1, \cdots, a_p, a_{p+1}, a^h) \\
wt_h(c_{k+1}h) &= (p+1, h_1, \cdots, h_p, h, a_1, \cdots, a_p, a_{p+1}, 1) \\
wt_h(c_{k+1}ah_{_C}) &= (p+1, h_1, \cdots, h_p, h_{_C}, a_1, \cdots, a_p, a_{p+1}a, 1)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $a_{p+1}a > a_{p+1}$ and $h\ _{_C}\!> h_{_C}$, we have

$$wt_h(c_{k+1}ah)\ _{_C}\!> wt_h(c_{k+1}ha^h), wt_h(c_{k+1}h), wt_h(c_{k+1}ah_{_C})$$

and so

$$cw_7d \succ ch\bar{t_1}d, c\bar{t_2}a^{ht}d, c\bar{t_3}(h,t)d$$

All other cases are treated the same as before.

Now, suppose that $(h1) - (h9)$ hold. Then, it is clear that S and $\succ$ satisfy (II). Conversely, assume that S and $\succ$ satisfy (II). Then, from the previous relations, it follows that $(h1) - (h9)$ hold in the group $\bar{A}$, the natural homomorphic image of A in G. By Generalized Composition-Diamond Lemma, we know that groups A and $\bar{A}$ are the same. So, we show (ii).

The proof of the lemma is completed. □

By using Lemma 3.3, Lemma 3.2 and the proof of the theorems in Section 2, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.4 *Let A, H, G be groups and $B = sgp\langle H_1, t, t^{-1} | R\rangle$ the HNN-extension of the group H, where $R = \{hh' = [hh'], ht = h_{_C}t\phi(c_{_h}), ht^{-1} = h_{_D}t^{-1}\phi^{-1}(d_{_h}), t^{\varepsilon}t^{-\varepsilon} = 1 | h, h' \in H_1,\ \varepsilon = \pm 1\}$, $H_1 = H\backslash\{1\}$, C, D the subgroups of H, $\phi : C \to D$ an isomorphism, $h = h_{_C}c_{_h} = h_{_D}d_{_h}$, $h_{_C} \in \{g_i | i \in I\}, h_{_D} \in \{h_j | j \in J\}, c_{_h} \in C, d_{_h} \in D$, $\{g_i | i \in I\}$ and $\{h_j | j \in J\}$ the coset representatives of C and D in H, respectively. Then, G is isomorphic to a Schreier extension of A by B if and only if there exist a factor set $\{(h,h'), (h,t^{\varepsilon}) | h, h' \in H_1,\ \varepsilon = \pm 1\} \subseteq A$ of B in A with $(h,h') = 1$ if $h' = h^{-1}$ and $\psi_b :\ A \to A,\ a \mapsto a^b$ $(b \in H_1 \cup \{t, t^{-1}\})$ an automorphism such that for any $h, h', h'' \in H,\ a \in A,\ \varepsilon = \pm 1$, the equations (h1)-(h9) hold.*

Moreover, if this is the case, $G \cong sgp\langle A_1 \cup H_1 \cup \{t, t^{-1}\} | S\rangle$, where $A_1 = A\backslash\{1\}$, $H_1 = H\backslash\{1\}$, $S = \{aa' = [aa'], ab = ba^b, hh' = [hh'](h,h'), ht = h_{_C}t\phi(c_{_h})(h,t), ht^{-1} = h_{_D}t^{-1}\phi^{-1}(d_{_h})(h,t^{-1}), t^{\varepsilon}t^{-\varepsilon} = 1 | a, a' \in A_1, b \in H_1 \cup \{t, t^{-1}\}, h, h' \in H_1, \varepsilon = \pm 1\}$.

Acknowledgement: The author would like to express his deepest gratitude to Professor L. A. Bokut for his kind guidance, useful discussions and enthusiastic encouragement when the author was visiting Sobolev Institute of Mathematics.

References

[1] G. M. Bergman, The diamond lemma for ring theory, *Adv. in Math.*, 29, 178-218(1978).

[2] L. A. Bokut, Unsolvability of the word problem, and subalgebras of finitely presented Lie algebras, *Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 36, 1173-1219(1972).

[3] L. A. Bokut, Imbeddings into simple associative algebras, *Algebra i Logika*, 15, 117-142(1976).

[4] B. Buchberger, An algorithm for finding a basis for the residue class ring of a zero-dimensional polynomial ideal [in German], Ph.D. thesis, University of Innsbruck, Austria, (1965).

[5] B. Buchberger, An algorithmical criteria for the solvability of algebraic systems of equations[in German], *Aequationes Math.*, 4, 374-383(1970).

[6] Yuqun Chen and Chanyan Zhong, Gröbner-Shirshov basis for HNN-extension of group and alternating group, *Comm. Algebra*, 36(1), 94-103(2008).

[7] Marshall Hall, Jr., The Theory of Groups, The Macmillan Company, 1959.

[8] G. Higman, B. H. Neumann and H. Neumann, Embedding theorems for groups, *J. London Math. Soc.,* 24, 247-254(1949).

[9] Saunders MacLane, Homology, Springer-Verlag, 1963.

[10] P. S. Novikov, On algorithmic unsolvability of the problem of identity, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 86, 709-712(1952).

[11] P. S. Novikov, Unsolvability of the conjugacy problem in the theory of groups, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 18, 485-524(1954).

[12] P. S. Novikov, On the algorithmic unsolvability of the word problem in group theory, *Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov*, 44, 143(1955).

[13] Otto Schreier, Uber die Erweiterung von Gruppen I, Monats. fur Math. u. Phys. 34, 165-180(1926).

[14] Otto Schreier, Uber die Erweiterung von Gruppen II, Abh. Math. Sem. Hamberg, 4, 321-346(1926).

[15] A. I. Shirshov, Some algorithmic problem for Lie algebras, *Sibirsk. Mat. Z.*, 3(1962), 292-296(in Russian); English translation in SIGSAM Bull., 33(2), 3-6(1999).